Page 1

UNITED STATES

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

ENVIRONMENTAL APPEALS BOARD

STATUS CONFERENCE

	:	
IN RE:	:	
	:	
SHELL GULF OF MEXICO,	INC. :	
FRONTIER DISCOVERY	:	
DRILLING UNIT	:	OCS Appeal
	:	Nos. 10-01,
	:	10-02, & 10-03
OCS Permit No.	:	
R100CS/PSD-AK-09-01	:	
	:	
Thursday,	May 13,	2010
Administra	ative Co	urtroom 1152

EPA East Building 1201 Constitution Ave, N.W. Washington, D.C.

The above-entitled matter came on for hearing, pursuant to notice, at 2:00 p.m.

BEFORE:

THE HONORABLE ANNA L. WOLGAST

Page 2

APPEARANCES: On Behalf of the Permittee, Shell Gulf of Mexico, Inc., and Shell Offshore, Inc.: Duane A. Siler, Esq. Susan M. Mathiascheck, Esq. Sarah C. Bordelon, Esq. Crowell & Moring, LLP 1001 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004 (202) 624-2500On Behalf of the Environmental Protection Agency: Kristi M. Smith, Esq. Office of General Counsel US Environmental Protection Agency 1200 Pennsylvania Ave, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20460 (202) 564-3064 Julie Vergeront, Esq. Juliane R.B. Matthews, Esq. Office of Regional Counsel US EPA, Region 10 1200 Sixth Avenue, SO-155 Seattle, Washington 98101 (206) 553-1169 On Behalf of the Environmental Appeals Board: Susan E. Gardinier, Esq. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Clerk of the Board Environmental Appeals Board (MC 1103B) Ariel Rios Building 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20460-0001 (202) 233-0122

Page 3 APPEARANCES (Cont'd): On Behalf of the Alaska Eskimo Whaling Commission: Tanya Sanerib, Esq. Christopher Winter, Esq. Craq Law Center 917 S.W. Oak Street, Suite 417 Portland, Oregon 97205 (503) 525-2722 On Behalf of the Center for Biological Diversity: Kevin Bundy, Esq. Center for Biological Diversity 351 California St, Suite 600 San Francisco, California 94104 (415) 436-9682 On Behalf of Earthjustice: David R. Hobstetter, Esq. Erik Grafe, Esq. Earthjustice 441 W. 5th Ave. Suite 301 Anchorage, Alaska 99501 (907) 277-2500 Eric P. Jorgenson, Esq. Earthjustice 325 Fourth Street Juneau, Alaska 99801

```
(907) 586-2751
```

C-O-N-T-E-N-T-S MR. SILER 11 . MS. SANERIB 31 . . • MR. BUNDY 35 . . • • • MR. HOBSTETTER.

> Neal R. Gross & Co., Inc. 202-234-4433

Page 4

		Page	5
1	P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S		
2	2:04 p.m.		
3	MS. DURR: All rise.		
4	Environmental Appeals Board of the United		
5	States Environmental Protection Agency is now		
6	in session for a status conference. In re:		
7	Shell Gulf of Mexico Inc., Permit Number		
8	R10OCS/PSD-AK-09-01. OCS Appeal Nos. 10-01,		
9	10-02, and 10-03.		
10	The Honorable Judge Anna Wolgast		
11	presiding.		
12	Please be seated.		
13	JUDGE WOLGAST: Good afternoon or		
14	good morning depending on where you are		
15	joining us from.		
16	Today we're here pursuant to the		
17	Board's order of May 10th to talk about a		
18	scheduling order in this case.		
19	And I know that you have just gone		
20	through this with the clerk but now that we		
21	are on the record if we can just make sure		
22	every representative who does want to		

Page 6 participate is on the phone and ready to 1 2 participate. Can we start with Ms. Bordelon 3 4 with Shell in Los Angeles? 5 MS. BORDELON: Yes. I'm here. 6 JUDGE WOLGAST: And Mr. Bundy in 7 San Francisco for Center for Biological 8 Diversity. 9 MR. BUNDY: I'm on the line, thank 10 you. 11 JUDGE WOLGAST: Thank you. 12 Counsel for Crag Law Center in 13 Portland? 14 MS. SANERIB: Yes. This is Tanya Sanerib. Good afternoon. 15 16 JUDGE WOLGAST: Thank you. 17 MR. WINTER: And this is 18 Christopher Winter. 19 JUDGE WOLGAST: Counsel for 20 Earthjustice first in Anchorage. 21 MR. HOBSTETTER: Hi. This is 22 David Hobstetter. I'm on the line.

Page 7 MR. GRAFE: Erik Grafe on the 1 2 line. JUDGE WOLGAST: And counsel for 3 4 Earthjustice in Juneau. 5 MR. JORGENSON: Yes. This is Eric 6 Jorgenson. 7 JUDGE WOLGAST: And counsel for 8 EPA Region 10. 9 MS. MATTHEWS: Julie Matthews is here. 10 11 MS. VERGERONT: Julie Vergeront is 12 here. 13 JUDGE WOLGAST: Thank you. 14 MS. MATTHEWS: Thank you. JUDGE WOLGAST: And with us in the 15 court room we have counsel for Shell. Counsel 16 17 for EPA's Office of General Counsel. And 18 joining me is an attorney with the 19 Environmental Appeals Board, Ms. Gardinier. 20 In this case we are talking about 21 petitions filed in two cases. 22 One, involving an outer

		Page	8
1	continental shelf prevention of significant		
2	deterioration under the Clean Air Act Permit,		
3	issued to Shell Gulf of Mexico, for		
4	exploratory drilling in the Chukchi Sea. And		
5	that has been challenged by Center for		
6	Biological Diversity, Earthjustice on behalf		
7	of conservation groups, and Alaska Eskimo		
8	Whaling Commission, and Inupiat Community of		
9	the Arctic Slope.		
10	The second OCSPSD Permit at issue		
11	that was issued on April 9th for exploratory		
12	drilling, issued to Shell Offshore Inc., was		
13	for exploratory drilling in the Beaufort Sea.		
14	That was challenged by Center for Biological		
15	Diversity, and Earthjustice on behalf of		
16	conservation groups.		
17	But today we're here to talk about		
18	proposed scheduling order for the case. Shell		
19	in its filings has requested that the cases be		
20	consolidated and also that the matters be		
21	expedited.		
22	As I understand it Shell proposes		

		Page 9
1	to file a response no later than 15 days from	
2	today. And requests that the Board decline to	
3	allow reply briefs in either case.	
4	Shell, as I understand it, further	
5	argues that any oral argument proceed within	
б	a short time following filing of the response	
7	brief.	
8	Petitioners, as I understand it,	
9	given the filings, do not oppose	
10	consolidation. And have requested an ability	
11	to file reply briefs.	
12	I don't see that petitioners have	
13	taken any petition with respect to oral	
14	argument.	
15	I'd like to first focus just on	
16	the briefing schedule, put any potential	
17	request for oral argument aside, and then	
18	we'll go back through, and everyone will have	
19	a chance to speak to that.	
20	But I'd like to proceed in this	
21	fashion. If we could start with Shell. Since	
22	the subject is your motion, both for	

	I
1	consolidation and expedition. I am interested
2	in your request to expedite. I have read your
3	filings. But I also would like to have an
4	understanding of what other permits or
5	Government actions are pending, and that
6	require action before Shell can commence
7	drilling operations. And if you could give me
8	a sense of where any such actions stand. What
9	actions have to take place before those
10	decisions can be final. And anything you know
11	about the timing of those proceedings. And
12	then if you could just confirm when you are
13	prepared to file the response brief of the
14	case.
15	Next we'll go to Region 10. And
16	then petitioners. And again, I'll be
17	interested in Region 10 and petitioners views
18	both on consolidation and when they and when
19	Region 10 is prepared to file a response
20	brief. And in the event that the Court were
21	to grant a reply briefing, which has not been
22	decided, when petitioners would be prepared to

I

Neal R. Gross & Co., Inc. 202-234-4433

Page 10

		Page 11
1	file a reply.	
2	So if we could begin with Shell.	
3	And for each counsel, if you could	
4	please for the court reporter, state your name	
5	for the record and who you represent.	
6	MR. SILER: Thank you, Your Honor.	
7	My name is Duane Siler. And I represent	
8	Shell.	
9	But to be precise, because this is	
10	a little bit complicated, I represent Shell	
11	Gulf of Mexico Inc., with respect to the	
12	Appeals Nos. 10-1, and 10-2, and 10-3. All of	
13	which challenge the Chukchi Air Permit. And	
14	I represent Shell Offshore Inc. in regard to	
15	Appeals Nos. 10-1, and 10-2.	
16	And also Your Honor, in what I	
17	presume will become 10-4 which, of which we	
18	received electronic notice last night from	
19	counsel for the Alaska Eskimo Whaling	
20	Commission and the Inupiat Community of the	
21	North Slope. They have filed a petition	
22	yesterday. It has not been posted as of when	

Page 12 we came over here today on the, on the EAB 1 2 website. 3 JUDGE WOLGAST: On the Beaufort Sea --4 5 MR. SILER: Yes. That's correct, 6 Your Honor. So we have what I presume will be 7 some, Appeal 10-4 involving SOI. And I 8 represent SOI there. 9 Having said all of that, for convenience sake, I will refer to them 10 collectively as Shell. 11 12 Your Honor, as you noted, Shell's motion for combined review and expedited 13 14 resolution is not opposed by Region 10, the 15 Agency or any of the petitioners. 16 Obviously, concerns have been 17 expressed by petitioners about whether or not 18 a right of reply should be granted in this 19 matter. And if so, how long should be 20 accorded for that. 21 Shell believes that the Region 22 issued these two OCS Air Permits lawfully.

		Page 13
1	And after rigorous and extensive analysis and	
2	based on very complete records. And we	
3	believe that none of the petitions that has	
4	been filed demonstrates the kind of clear	
5	error or abuse of discretion that would	
6	warrant remanding these permits.	
7	I say this because we are	
8	obviously assuming and optimistic, Your Honor,	
9	that we will have a favorable outcome in these	
10	appeals. But if that outcome is long delayed	
11	the value of that outcome to Shell becomes	
12	more and more academic as the summer	
13	progresses.	
14	Shell has, we recognize we are	
15	asking for extraordinary action. We're asking	
16	for action that is very similar to what the	
17	Board did in 2007 when it compressed briefing	
18	and argument on the Kulluk Air Permit in to	
19	the space of about three weeks.	
20	The realities of Arctic offshore	
21	exploration compel us to ask for similar	
22	extraordinary relief this year. Shell has a	

		Page 14
1	very limited drilling season. Shell does not	
2	know, and cannot know, in advance which of the	
3	two seas will be open for drilling. Although	
4	drilling is authorized and planned to commence	
5	if possible in either or both. On or by July	
б	4th in the Chukchi and July 10 in the	
7	Beaufort.	
8	We believe combined review is	
9	pretty clearly the way to proceed in this	
10	case. Because as Your Honor will I think	
11	agree once the newest petition that AEWC and	
12	ICAS has filed is available, it raises	
13	identical issues to those that were raised in	
14	the previous appeal.	
15	So what we have are petitions by	
16	CBD that raise a single legal issue with	
17	respect to both permits. A petition by	
18	Earthjustice that raises a single legal issue	
19	with respect to both permits. And two appeals	
20	filed by AEWC and ICAS that raise a suite of	
21	six issues which are substantially identical	
22	with respect to both permits.	

Page 15 It seems to us it would be, it 1 2 would economize on judicial resources as well as those of the parties if these were to be 3 briefed and decided together because it's 4 5 actually difficult to see, Your Honor, how 6 they could be decided separately. The issues 7 are so intertwined and indeed identical. 8 If Your Honor would like me to 9 anatomize or categorize those issues I could 10 do so. But I assume that they will be clear from the record. And the identity will be 11 12 apparent --13 JUDGE WOLGAST: Yes. 14 MR. SILER: -- as you consider this. 15 16 Shell has invested a huge amount 17 of money at this point in being able to 18 explore the leases that it acquired from MMS 19 some years ago to explore for hydrocarbons in 20 the Chukchi and in the Beaufort. At this 21 point, Shell has some costs of approximately 22 3 billion dollars in the acquisition of the

		Page 16
1	leases, and capital expenditures relating to	
2	the acquisition of seismic data, the	
3	retrofitting of vessels, the creation of an	
4	off spill response capability etcetera.	
5	But more to the point Your Honor,	
б	Shell will by the end of this month, due to	
7	the necessities of going forward with	
8	logistical planning and making commitments for	
9	a mobilization of an effort of this magnitude,	
10	Shell will have committed to spend	
11	approximately 300 million dollars for this	
12	summer, 2010, drilling season. Much of which	
13	will be non-recoverable.	
14	That's why it's so imperative, in	
15	Shell's view, to be able to drill at the	
16	earliest possible time in both seas depending	
17	on which one's conditions allows us to proceed	
18	in. And why the opportunity costs of not	
19	being able to do so runs in our, approximately	
20	2 1/2 million dollars a day.	
21	This is why we would hope to have	
22	this matter briefed as quickly as possible.	

		Page
1	And we believe oral argument would be	
2	beneficial. I know it's an issue you wanted	
3	us to address because of the complexity of the	
4	matters. But to have that accomplished as	
5	soon as possible.	
6	But in any case, having them fully	
7	briefed so that the Board can proceed with	
8	whatever degree of expedition it deems	
9	appropriate which we believe it will recognize	
10	is compelling. But at least, let's get these	
11	matters briefed as quickly as possible and	
12	prepared for review by the, by the Board.	
13	As you know, a project of this	
14	magnitude has many moving parts. All of which	
15	have to be orchestrated. You inquired about	
16	permits and authorizations that are required	
17	for Shell to proceed this summer.	
18	One of the principal ones is the	
19	exploration plan, approvals that the Minerals	
20	Management Service has approved for	
21	exploration, the exploration program in both	
22	seas for a single season, i.e. this summer.	

Neal R. Gross & Co., Inc. 202-234-4433

Page 17

		Page	18
1	Both of those approvals were		
2	challenged in the 9th Circuit and oral		
3	argument occurred last week. At that time,		
4	the panel indicated its understanding on the		
5	record that a quick decision would be of the,		
б	would be very beneficial to Shell. So it		
7	appears that the panel understands that that		
8	needs to be resolved quickly.		
9	In any event, those exploration		
10	plan approvals are in hand and are valid until		
11	contrary action by the 9th Circuit. Which we		
12	do not expect to occur.		
13	JUDGE WOLGAST: But you are, you		
14	are waiting a decision from the 9th Circuit in		
15	order to commence.		
16	MR. SILER: That is, we can, we		
17	can commence operations unless the 9th Circuit		
18	invalidates those exploration plans. So it is		
19	important for both sides of this issue to have		
20	an early resolution.		
21	JUDGE WOLGAST: I understand. But		
22	just to be clear, your, you wouldn't be		

		Page
1	drilling before that action by the 9th Circuit	
2	has been decided.	
3	MR. SILER: We expect, from every	
4	indication including an expedited briefing	
5	schedule that the 9th Circuit entered in those	
6	appeals early this year, that the 9th Circuit	
7	appreciates the need for a decision early this	
8	summer. And will, and will render one.	
9	Shell has, requires, actually it's	
10	covered by the Arctic General NPDS Discharge	
11	Permit and has filed notices and intend to be	
12	covered by that permit for both operations,	
13	and has received certificates of coverage from	
14	Region 10 for both of those notifications.	
15	The State of Alaska, back in	
16	January, approved under, the under its	
17	delegated authority under the Federal Coastal	
18	Zone Management Act, determined at that time	
19	that this that Shell exploration plan in both	
20	seas are consistent with the CZMA. No appeals	
21	were taken of that. That authorization is in	
22	hand.	

Page 19

		Page 2	20
1	With respect to the Endangered	2	
2	Species Act and the Marine Mammals Protection		
3	Act, these approvals which tend to be issued		
4	on a just-in-time basis are, we believe, on		
5	track.		
6	With respect to the incidental		
7	JUDGE WOLGAST: Could you just		
8	tell me what still needs to be accomplished		
9	with respect to the MMPA and ESA issues?		
10	MR. SILER: Certainly Your Honor.		
11	The incidental harassment		
12	authorizations were the Chukchi and the		
13	Beaufort have been published in the Federal		
14	Register by the National Marine Fishery		
15	service.		
16	In the case of Chukchi it was		
17	noticed in the Federal Register on May 7.		
18	With public comment open through June 7 of		
19	2010. In the, for the Beaufort the IHA was		
20	noticed on April 19. Public comment will be		
21	open until May 19.		
22	After the public comment periods		

		Page	21
1	have closed Shell expects that the IHAs will		
2	be issued for both operations.		
3	With respect to letters of		
4	authorization from the Fish and Wildlife		
5	Service, Shell applied for those in May of		
6	2009. Continues to discuss those with the		
7	Fish and Wildlife Service. And it fully		
8	expects to receive those on a timely basis.		
9	Again, our experience with these		
10	authorizations for previous seasons is that		
11	they are issued on a just-in-time basis. We		
12	see no reason to doubt that they will be		
13	issued in time for the planned commencement of		
14	the drilling season in July.		
15	Finally Your Honor, the, Shell		
16	will need from the Minerals Management Service		
17	actual permits to drill. This is the last		
18	step in the in the MMS authorization process.		
19	Shell is working, and these are issued in the,		
20	Shell has, is working with MMS on the		
21	applications for these permits to drill.		
22	Shell has, will be seeking APDs to drill in		

		Page	2.2
1	five locations potentially.	Page	44
2	JUDGE WOLGAST: What is an APD?		
3	I'm sorry.		
4	MR. SILER: An authorization for		
5	permission to drill.		
6	It appears that in the wake of the		
7	tragic incident involving the Deepwater		
8	Horizon, the MMS will not be issuing permits		
9	to drill to Shell until after May 28th, when		
10	a report is scheduled to be rendered to the		
11	President on the causes of that incident and		
12	recommendations. And Shell has been advised		
13	of that.		
14	By the same token, Shell has no		
15	reason to believe that MMS has suspended		
16	processing of its of the application for		
17	permit to drill. And there continues to be		
18	ongoing information being supplied by Shell to		
19	support the, those applications and the		
20	authorizations that Shell expects to receive.		
21	May 28th would be in advance of		
22	any hearing, or certainly, any resolution, but		

even any hearing that's likely to be scheduled 1 2 in this matter. 3 So we expect that we will be on 4 track by the time briefing is complete under 5 the schedule we propose. And certainly by the 6 time of any hearing. 7 JUDGE WOLGAST: And do you have 8 any insight in to what that review process entails in the wake of the Gulf incident? 9 10 MR. SILER: What we know, Your 11 Honor, is that the MMS has asked Shell to 12 submit additional information by May 18 regarding the safety, and safety measures, 13 14 that will be undertaken in the design of these wells. And the implementation of the approved 15 16 design. 17 And that Shell is certainly going 18 to provide that information by May 18th if not 19 sooner. 20 And you don't know JUDGE WOLGAST: 21 what happens after that? 22 MR. SILER: I do not know. But it

		Page	24
1	certainly, we do not expect any action, one		
2	way or the other, on the permits to drill		
3	until after May 28th. Because we've been so		
4	informed.		
5	With respect Your Honor to the,		
6	let me just speak briefly about this schedule		
7	that we have proposed, if I may. The schedule		
8	we've proposed which would require Region 10		
9	and Shell to respond to the pending petitions		
10	by May 28 would provide, basically, 25 days to		
11	Shell and to Region 10 since those petitions		
12	have been available on the docket.		
13	This, in as much as the permits,		
14	the appeals present identical issues with		
15	respect to the permits. We feel that we can		
16	certainly live with that schedule. And we're		
17	prepared to do so. And we're working with the		
18	expectation of filing a brief at that time.		
19	We think it's, every day counts		
20	for the reasons I explained here. And we		
21	don't mean to be overly aggressive about this		
22	issue. But every day does count, Your Honor.		

Because every day that we can't drill 1 2 represents a lost investment not just in the money but in the potential ability to complete 3 a well and get the data that that well 4 5 represents. Which will guide exploration 6 operations in the subsequent seasons. And 7 generally enables Shell to complete the 8 exploration of these leases for hydrocarbons 9 as it's entitled to do. We feel that in this situation a 10 reply, which after all under the Boards' 11 12 procedures, is not a, something that is 13 granted as a right. Indeed it is not normally 14 provided. In this case we feel that the petitioners have set forth their arguments 15 16 ably in their petitions. And that, provided that the Board sees fit to set this matter for 17 18 oral argument, that will provide an adequate 19 ability for petitioners to respond to any 20 issues that may be raised by Shell or the 21 Region in our responses, in our respective 22 responses.

		Page
1	Shell does not wish to cut off	
2	anybody's right to be heard. But we do	
3	believe that the hearing would provide the	
4	functional equivalent of a reply brief for	
5	petitioners.	
6	So we would urge the Board to set	
7	that as a briefing schedule. We appreciate	
8	that the Board has its own schedule with	
9	respect to hearing and resolution of this.	
10	But let it, we would urge the Board to at	
11	least get this matter keyed for consideration	
12	with this sort of expedited briefing schedule.	
13	Thank you, Your Honor.	
14	MS. SMITH: Hello Your Honor. I'm	
15	Kristi Smith from the Office of General	
16	Counsel for EPA. I work with Julie Vergeront	
17	and Juliane Matthews in Region 10 Office of	
18	Regional Counsel.	
19	We will be responding for the	
20	Region. And defending these permits.	
21	You specifically asked what EPA's	
22	views were to Shell's petition. And	

26

Page 27

1 specifically to respond.

-	specifically correspond.
2	We do not oppose expedition as a
3	general matter. But we definitely need enough
4	time to fully and thoughtfully respond to all
5	the issues raised in the petitions.
6	As Shell noted it is true that as
7	of this morning we found out that there aren't
8	any new issues raised beyond what's been
9	raised in the petitions so far.
10	The Whaling Commission petitioners
11	did file a new brief. It covers the same
12	issues as the first petition. But they are
13	specific to the actual facts in the Beaufort
14	Sea. So there are some differences. And
15	they've expanded up on some of their arguments
16	in that petition. So it's not an exact
17	replica of the petition they filed before.
18	And we didn't know that until this
19	morning. We, you know, there were other
20	commenters on the Beaufort Sea who have not
21	filed a petition.
22	But taking all of this in to

account we feel that the time best for us 1 2 would be June 4th. That's one week after the 3 time that Shell has requested. There are a lot of issues raised. We feel we have a 4 5 strong record. But we need to make sure we 6 defend that adequately. 7 For the Agency this is not just 8 about defense of the Shell permits. This is 9 about OCS permitting overall. As some of the petitioners raised, Shell is, in many senses, 10 the first out of the gate. But we have other 11 12 petitions, we have other applications for permits. And we need to think about what we 13 14 say here, how that will affect other permits. 15 So we need time to put those responses 16 together. 17 And then also in light of what has 18 happened in the Gulf we need to make sure that 19 we have adequate time for management review. 20 Any, anything said by a Federal agency at this 21 time about OCS permitting or drilling will 22 have an extra layer of scrutiny.

Page 28

Page 29 So we would request June 4th. 1 2 We don't take a position as to 3 reply briefs. That's completely within the purview of the EAB. And we think that Shell 4 5 and the petitioners have both laid out their 6 arguments with respect to that. 7 JUDGE WOLGAST: And let me ask you 8 as to your proposed June 4th date would you 9 propose to file the certified index and the relevant copies of the administrative record 10 simultaneously with your response? 11 12 That would be our MS. SMITH: 13 proposal. If the Court wished to have some 14 15 other date a day or two before would be 16 workable. But anything further than that 17 would detract from the writing of the 18 responses. So. 19 JUDGE WOLGAST: And what is your 20 position on consolidating the two matters? 21 MS. SMITH: Oh. We are not 22 opposed to consolidation. For all the reasons

		Page	30
1	Shell raised. And the fact that two of the		
2	petitions do basically consolidate the		
3	permits.		
4	And once you get our record, you		
5	will see that a vast majority of the documents		
6	are shared by both permitting records.		
7	So from our perspective it makes		
8	sense to combine as well.		
9	JUDGE WOLGAST: Okay. All right.		
10	Thank you.		
11	MS. SMITH; Oh, one other thing I		
12	just wanted to let everyone know is that, all		
13	the parties, all the counsel for all the		
14	petitioners have agreed to electronic filing		
15	for our service copies to one another.		
16	So we'll only be filing a paper		
17	copy with the Board from here on out.		
18	JUDGE WOLGAST: And of course you		
19	can file electronically		
20	MS. SMITH: Yes. We'll do that as		
21	well. Yes. Yes.		
22	JUDGE WOLGAST: Thank you. All		

Γ

		Page	31
1	right.		
2	Let's turn now to the petitioners.		
3	And arbitrarily, why don't we go in		
4	alphabetical order? So Alaska Eskimo Whaling		
5	Commission, counsel for that group. And		
6	Inupiat Community for the Arctic Slope. Could		
7	we here from you please?		
8	MS. SANERIB: Yes. Of course.		
9	Good afternoon. This is Tanya Sanerib on		
10	behalf of AWEC and ICAS. And as previously		
11	mentioned, Christopher Winter is also on the		
12	phone on behalf of those parties.		
13	And I just wanted to make clear as		
14	both counsel for Shell and EPA said that, this		
15	afternoon, that AWEC and ICAS did file a		
16	petition for review yesterday of the Beaufort		
17	permit. So like the other petitioners in this		
18	matter we have now sought review of both the		
19	Chukchi and the Beaufort OCS Air Permits		
20	issued to Shell.		
21	In terms of your question about		
22	consolidation, we don't oppose consolidation		
	Neal R Gross & Co Inc		

Γ

		Page	32
1	of the two petitions for review over the two		
2	different air permits.		
3	As counsel for the EPA said, the		
4	records do overlap substantially. There's a		
5	lot of meetings between Shell and EPA that		
6	cover both permits. There are a lot of legal		
7	issues, that while they have slightly		
8	different factual underpinnings our, we think,		
9	our legal thoughts is both permits, that are		
10	the same. So we don't oppose consolidation.		
11	In terms of the question about the		
12	schedule, our proposal is, and I note the		
13	dates that have been thrown out by other		
14	parties, would be to, if Shell wants to file		
15	their response brief on May 28th, to have them		
16	go ahead and do that. If they want to join		
17	EPA and file on June 4th, that's fine with us.		
18	But, as we represented in our papers, we do		
19	think that a reply brief is warranted here.		
20	And I think one of the primary		
21	reasons a reply is necessary is because Shell		
22	has represented in its filings that they		

		Page	33
1	intend to present different arguments from the		
2	EPA. And petitioners think that it's		
3	incredibly important to be able to file a		
4	response to this new argument in writing.		
5	Presumably those arguments will involve		
6	different case law, different factual parts of		
7	the record. And I think that the easiest way		
8	for the EAB to have a clear record, and a		
9	clear understanding of all the arguments		
10	presented to it, is to actually have a written		
11	reply brief.		
12	I also think that that will		
13	expedite proceedings. If there's an oral		
14	argument before the EAB we can really focus on		
15	the key topics that the EAB still has		
16	questions on.		
17	I think the other reason that it's		
18	important that petitioners feel they have to		
19	file reply briefs here is because there are		
20	some very important precedential issues for,		
21	as EPA, counsel for EPA stated, on how EPA is		
22	going is handle air permitting in the OCS.		

Page 34 And there are other permittees 1 2 that are submitting applications. And so, the ruling from the Board on some of these issues 3 4 is really going to dictate how things happen in years to come. And so for that reason 5 6 petitioners are also requesting the 7 opportunity to file a reply brief. 8 We would recommend that we 9 provided 10 days for that reply. To file replies on Monday, June 14th. And we would 10 request that argument be held after June 14th. 11 12 At the Board's convenience. 13 JUDGE WOLGAST: All right. 14 MS. SANERIB: And do you have any 15 other questions? 16 JUDGE WOLGAST: Not at this time. 17 Because we'll come back to oral argument again 18 with all the parties. Thank you. 19 MS. SANERIB: Yes. 20 JUDGE WOLGAST: Counsel for Center 21 for Biological Diversity. 22 MR. BUNDY: Good afternoon Your

		Page	35
1	Honor. This is Kevin Bundy for the Center for		
2	Biological Diversity.		
3	I'll be brief. I don't have a lot		
4	to add to what counsel for AWEC just		
5	presented.		
6	In terms of consolidation,		
7	speaking only in terms of our separate		
8	petition, our issues are very similar, they		
9	are factually identical. And so we don't		
10	oppose consolidation.		
11	And in terms of the schedule, we		
12	support the proposal that counsel for AEWC		
13	just laid out with responses due on June 4th.		
14	And replies due on June 14th. And argument		
15	thereafter.		
16	I just note that Shell agrees with		
17	the issues in these cases are complex. And		
18	should really be fully briefed so that the, so		
19	that the Board can reach an informed decision.		
20	And I'd like to echo what counsel		
21	for the AEWC said that, we believe that		
22	written briefs would ultimately be more		

Page 36 beneficial to the Board. And actually more 1 2 expeditious in trying to get all the 3 petitioner's responses to whatever new 4 arguments Shell might want to raise in the 5 context of oral arguments. So we would, we 6 would support AEWC's proposal. 7 JUDGE WOLGAST: And that is that 8 you would have 15 days from the date of the 9 filing of a response? That's your proposal? MR. BUNDY: I believe it was 10 10 11 days, Your Honor. 12 JUDGE WOLGAST: 10 days. 13 MR. BUNDY: That the responses 14 would be due on June 4th per EPA's suggested schedule. And then replies would be due on 15 16 June 14th. 17 We had asked for 10 days in our in 18 our written filing in response to Shell's 19 motion as well. 20 JUDGE WOLGAST: All right. Okay. 21 Thank you. 22 MR. BUNDY: Thank you.
Page 37 JUDGE WOLGAST: And Earthjustice, 1 2 please. 3 Good afternoon, MR. HOBSTETTER: Your Honor. This is David Hobstetter with 4 5 Earthjustice on behalf of NRDC petitioners. 6 We don't oppose consolidation or 7 review on an expedited basis. 8 We also join AEWC petitioners, 9 their suggested schedule for review here. With reply briefs due on the 14th of June. 10 In terms of our reasoning where I 11 12 don't have much else to add. As counsel for AEWC said, these 13 14 are, the petitions raise matters of first 15 impression here. And reply briefs would, 16 written replies here would provide petitioners 17 with an opportunity to address Shell and the 18 Region's fully articulated position. And so 19 we join AEWC in their request for reply briefs 20 on the 14th. 21 JUDGE WOLGAST: All right. Thank 22 you.

Page 38 And before I go back to Shell, I 1 2 want to go back to counsel for EPA just for a 3 clarification. Because I may well have written this down incorrectly. 4 5 But I understand you're proposing 6 response date of June 4th. And just tell me 7 again when you're proposing to file a reply. 8 If a reply were to be granted by the Board. 9 MS. SMITH: We did not --JUDGE WOLGAST: I'm sorry. 10 Not, 11 I'm sorry. You weren't doing a reply. 12 MS. SMITH: That's okay. 13 JUDGE WOLGAST: It was AEWC. I'm 14 sorry. 15 Counsel --16 MS. SANERIB: Yes. 17 JUDGE WOLGAST: -- for AEWC. I'm 18 sorry. Could you clarify when you were 19 proposing to file a reply? 20 MS. SANERIB: We are proposing 10 21 days from June 4th. So June 14th. 22 JUDGE WOLGAST: All right.

Page 39 MS. SANERIB: Monday, June 14th. 1 2 JUDGE WOLGAST: Thank you. I did 3 write that down incorrectly. 4 MS. SANERIB: Okay. And if I, if 5 I might, there is one other thing. I'm not 6 sure how long we're going to address this 7 schedule. But I did want to make the Board 8 aware of a conflict counsel for AEWC and ICAS 9 has. We have a 9th Circuit oral 10 11 argument on Monday, June 7th. And so part of 12 the reason we are proposing reply briefs on June 14th is to account for the fact that both 13 14 Christopher Winter and myself have this 15 argument. It was scheduled months ago on June 16 7th. And it just can't be moved. So we have to account for that in terms of the timing of 17 18 the schedule before the Board. 19 JUDGE WOLGAST: Okay. Let's turn 20 back to Shell. If you could respond to the 21 proposal by EPA, or response, and by 22 petitioners. And I would also like to know,

		Page	40
1	do you think there should be a single date for		
2	response? Since you've articulated two		
3	different dates.		
4	MR. SILER: Yes Your Honor. I		
5	articulated May 28th because that seemed to us		
6	to be a date, a date that both the Region and		
7	Shell could meet this.		
8	I appreciate what counsel for EPA		
9	is saying here about the need for internal		
10	review on their part of the brief they are		
11	going to submit.		
12	By the same token, it's, for all		
13	the reasons I have outlined, Shell has a need		
14	for expedition here. And really this is kind		
15	of a, these are interrelated issues. A		
16	response date, a reply date if one is granted,		
17	and a hearing date, because all of them are		
18	essential elements of an expedited resolution		
19	here.		
20	But let me say that we continue to		
21	believe that oral argument will provide an		
22	adequate forum to address all the issues that		

		Page
1	might be presented in either response.	
2	Obviously, Shell will be raising	
3	somewhat different issues from EPA. This is	
4	something which is implicit in our	
5	participation and the grant of our	
6	participation in this matter. It's an element	
7	of our standing in this matter.	
8	We do not anticipate that they	
9	will be so far removed or different from what	
10	the Agency argues as to create any surprises.	
11	But we understand the argument	
12	that the petitioners are making here that they	
13	need a reply.	
14	We would, if the, if the Court, if	
15	the Board sees fit to grant a right of reply	
16	we would ask that it not be a full 10 days.	
17	We would ask that it be a five day response or	
18	reply to the responses that are filed.	
19	And furthermore, while this may be	
20	somewhat novel Your Honor, we would ask that	
21	that be keyed to the date of the last filing	
22	of the responses by either Shell or Region 10.	

Neal R. Gross & Co., Inc. 202-234-4433 Page 41

		Page	42
1	And the reason for this is, it is		
2	not unheard of in this world that briefs get		
3	filed early. And if they, if they do get		
4	filed early by both EPA and Shell, based on a		
5	June 4 deadline, then we believe that the		
6	petitioners ought to be able to prepare their		
7	reply in whatever the appropriate amount of		
8	time is. With the clock running when they		
9	receive those responses.		
10	So we would ask that the, if the		
11	right of reply be given that it be limited to		
12	five days. And that it be keyed to the		
13	service of both of the responses to which the		
14	replies will be made.		
15	JUDGE WOLGAST: Yes. And to be		
16	absolutely clear when, for counsel for		
17	petitioners when we say "10 days" I'm assuming		
18	that's 10 days from the filing of the response		
19	brief.		
20	MS. SANERIB: Yes. That's		
21	correct.		
22	And that's Tanya Sanerib from Crag		

1 Law Center. 2 JUDGE WOLGAST: And what is 3 Shell's position on whether there should be 4 different response dates or one response date 5 for EPA and Shell? 6 MR. SILER: We think it should be 7 one response date. We should respond on the 8 same date. 9 JUDGE WOLGAST: Okay. And let's 10 just quickly talk about oral argument. And again, no decision has been made on whether or 11 12 not oral argument will or will not be held. 13 And I really don't anticipate that a decision 14 will be made about oral argument until we see 15 the response briefs. 16 So with that in mind, I just 17 wanted ask, since we have all counsel either here or on the line, the parties' availability 18 19 during both the weeks of June 14th and June 20 If you have an ability to tell me that 21st. 21 now, that would be great. If you don't, if 22 you could, as soon as possible, advise the

		Page	44
1	clerk of the Board as to your availability		
2	those two weeks. So at least we will know, in		
3	the event an oral argument is scheduled, when		
4	we could get the parties together to do that.		
5	MR. SILER: I can represent no		
6	conflict during those weeks for Shell, Your		
7	Honor.		
8	JUDGE WOLGAST: All right. Thank		
9	you.		
10	MR. SILER: Thank you, Your Honor.		
11	JUDGE WOLGAST: And EPA, could you		
12	speak briefly to oral argument?		
13	MS. SMITH: Yes, I can. I have		
14	spoken with my co-counsel beforehand. We		
15	expected that you might ask a question like		
16	this.		
17	And I don't think we have any		
18	conflicts those two weeks.		
19	The one request we would make is		
20	that the conference be scheduled mid-week,		
21	perhaps on a, mid-week on a Wednesday. Or on		
22	a Tuesday or a Thursday. Not on a Monday or		

Γ

		Page 45
1	Friday. That makes it hard to travel and	
2	prep. We, our West Coast counsel usually like	
3	to fly out two days before an argument. So	
4	that they can have a day to work with us so we	
5	can all coordinate. So a Wednesday hearing	
6	would work best, or Tuesday or Thursday.	
7	And we are fine with setting a	
8	tentative date now. And then deciding later.	
9	One other thing that we were	
10	thinking about, if it would be possible, if	
11	the Board does review the responses and want	
12	to set oral argument, it might be helpful to	
13	the parties if there are any particular issues	
14	that you want to focus on, if you let us know	
15	that could probably, we could prepare much	
16	more quickly. And make sure that we're	
17	focused on the issues that you want to hear.	
18	Thank you.	
19	JUDGE WOLGAST: All right. Thank	
20	you. Okay.	
21	Going back to petitioners. Again,	
22	back to Alaska Eskimo Whaling Commission and	

		Page	46
1	Inupiat Community for Arctic Slope.	5	
2	MS. SANERIB: Thank you. This is		
3	Tanya Sanerib.		
4	And we have no conflicts during		
5	those two weeks.		
6	JUDGE WOLGAST: And at this time,		
7	do you have any position on whether oral		
8	argument should be held?		
9	MS. SANERIB: Yes. It is our		
10	belief that we should have oral argument. We		
11	think it would be beneficial to the Board to		
12	help flush out any questions they have after		
13	the briefing is complete.		
14	And again, because there are such		
15	important precedential issues raised by these		
16	permits, I think it would be useful to give		
17	the parties an opportunity to come in and have		
18	a conversation with the Board about them.		
19	JUDGE WOLGAST: All right. Thank		
20	you.		
21	Earthjustice for NRDC and		
22	conservation groups.		

		Page 47
1	MR. HOBSTETTER: Thank you, Your	
2	Honor. This is David Hobstetter.	
3	We also think that oral argument	
4	would be beneficial. It would give us an	
5	additional opportunity to represent our	
6	positions to the Board.	
7	We don't have any conflicts. We	
8	don't think we have any conflicts for the	
9	weeks of June 14th and June 21st. We would	
10	like to ask Your Honor to consider granting us	
11	the ability to do oral arguments by video	
12	conference, however.	
13	JUDGE WOLGAST: Yes. In any Board	
14	oral argument, we can arrange for a video	
15	conference.	
16	MR. HOBSTETTER: All right. Thank	
17	you very much. That's all.	
18	JUDGE WOLGAST: All right. Any	
19	other issues?	
20	MS. BORDELON: Your Honor, this is	
21	Sarah Bordelon for Shell.	
22	I, we just recently received	

	Page 48
1	information that the 9th Circuit has ruled in
2	Shell's favor. And is upholding MSS's
3	approval of Shell's exploration plan. We can
4	provide you the text of that order if that
5	would be desirable.
6	JUDGE WOLGAST: Yes. Please.
7	Thank you. Thank you for saying that.
8	If there's nothing else
9	MR. BUNDY: One other thing, Your
10	Honor. I'm very sorry. This is Kevin Bundy
11	for Center for Biological Diversity.
12	I wanted to respond to your
13	question about oral argument.
14	We also agree that oral argument
15	would be beneficial in this case. Although as
16	I said before, we don't think it necessarily
17	substitutes for the benefit that written reply
18	briefs would provide to the Board.
19	I'm not aware of any conflicts the
20	week of June 14th or 21st.
21	My co-counsel Vera Pardee is
22	actually the lead counsel in this case. And
ļ	

		Page	49
1	she's out of the country right now. I have to		
2	check with her to make sure she doesn't have		
3	any conflict. But I think I can represent,		
4	from our perspective, that we can make the		
5	schedule work.		
6	So, thank you.		
7	JUDGE WOLGAST: All right. Let me		
8	say, if anyone, as it stands right now,		
9	counsel represented that they can make any		
10	date during those two weeks.		
11	I'd like to hear by tomorrow noon		
12	if there's any change to that.		
13	Because I would like to be able to		
14	issue an order tomorrow, if possible.		
15	As you are all aware the Board		
16	issues decisions. It's a panel of three. So		
17	I will be conferring with the other two		
18	members of the panel. And we will try and get		
19	out an order as soon as possible. Hopefully		
20	tomorrow. If not tomorrow then it will be		
21	early on Monday.		
22	If nothing further, thank you very		

		Page 50
1	much for all of your input. And we will be	
2	moving along with this case.	
3	Thanks very much.	
4	(Whereupon, the above-entitled	
5	matter was concluded at 2:48 p.m.)	
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
L		

A	34:22 37:3	appreciates 19:7	availability 43:18	25:17 26:6,8,10
ability 9:10 25:3,19	agency 1:1 2:9,12	appropriate 17:9	44:1	30:17 34:3 35:19
43:20 47:11	2:22 5:5 12:15	42:7	available 14:12	36:1 38:8 39:7,18
able 15:17 16:15,19	28:7,20 41:10	approval 48:3	24:12	41:15 44:1 45:11
33:3 42:6 49:13	aggressive 24:21	approvals 17:19	Ave 1:15 2:12 3:16	46:11,18 47:6,13
ably 25:16	ago 15:19 39:15	18:1,10 20:3	Avenue 2:6,17,24	48:18 49:15
above-entitled 1:18	agree 14:11 48:14	approved 17:20	aware 39:8 48:19	Boards 25:11
50:4	agreed 30:14	19:16 23:15	49:15	Board's 5:17 34:12
absolutely 42:16	agrees 35:16	approximately	AWEC 31:10,15	Bordelon 2:5 6:3,5
abuse 13:5	ahead 32:16	15:21 16:11,19	35:4	47:20,21
academic 13:12	air 8:2 11:13 12:22	April 8:11 20:20	<u> </u>	brief 9:7 10:13,20
accomplished 17:4	13:18 31:19 32:2	arbitrarily 31:3		24:18 26:4 27:11
20:8	33:22	Arctic 8:9 13:20	back 9:18 19:15	32:15,19 33:11
accorded 12:20	Alaska 3:2,17,21	19:10 31:6 46:1	34:17 38:1,2	34:7 35:3 40:10
account 28:1 39:13	8:7 11:19 19:15	argues 9:5 41:10	39:20 45:21,22	42:19
39:17	31:4 45:22	argument 4:18 9:5	based 13:2 42:4	briefed 15:4 16:22
acquired 15:18	allow 9:3	9:14,17 13:18	basically 24:10	17:7,11 35:18
acquisition 15:22	allows 16:17	17:1 18:3 25:18	30:2	briefing 9:16 10:21
16:2	alphabetical 31:4	33:4,14 34:11,17	basis 20:4 21:8,11	13:17 19:4 23:4
Act 8:2 19:18 20:2	amount 15:16 42:7	35:14 39:11,15	37:7 D = === f == f =	26:7,12 46:13
20:3	analysis 13:1	40:21 41:11 43:10	Beaufort 8:13 12:3	briefly 24:6 44:12
action 10:6 13:15	anatomize 15:9	43:12,14 44:3,12	14:7 15:20 20:13	briefs 9:3,11 29:3
13:16 18:11 19:1	Anchorage 3:17	45:3,12 46:8,10	20:19 27:13,20	33:19 35:22 37:10
24:1	6:20	47:3,14 48:13,14	31:16,19	37:15,19 39:12
actions 10:5,8,9	Angeles 6:4	arguments 25:15	behalf 2:2,9,19 3:2	42:2 43:15 48:18
actual 21:17 27:13	Anna 1:22 5:10	27:15 29:6 33:1,5	3:8,13 8:6,15	Building 1:14 2:23
add 35:4 37:12	anticipate 41:8	33:9 36:4,5 47:11	31:10,12 37:5	Bundy 3:9 4:16 6:6
additional 23:12	43:13	Ariel 2:23	belief 46:10	6:9 34:22 35:1
47:5	anybody's 26:2	arrange 47:14	believe 13:3 14:8	36:10,13,22 48:9
address 17:3 37:17	APD 22:2	articulated 37:18	17:1,9 20:4 22:15 26:3 35:21 36:10	48:10
39:6 40:22	APDs 21:22	40:2,5	40:21 42:5	<u> </u>
adequate 25:18	apparent 15:12	aside 9:17	believes 12:21	$\frac{c}{C 2:5}$
28:19 40:22	appeal 1:9 5:8 12:7		beneficial 17:2	C 2.5 California 3:10,11
adequately 28:6	14:14	36:17	18:6 36:1 46:11	capability 16:4
administrative	appeals 1:2 2:20,23	asking 13:15,15	47:4 48:15	capital 16:1
1:14 29:10	5:4 7:19 11:12,15	assume 15:10	benefit 48:17	case 5:18 7:20 8:18
advance 14:2 22:21	13:10 14:19 19:6	assuming 13:8	best 28:1 45:6	9:3 10:14 14:10
advise 43:22	19:20 24:14	42:17	best 28.1 45.0 beyond 27:8	17:6 20:16 25:14
advised 22:12	APPEARANCES	attorney 7:18	billion 15:22	33:6 48:15,22
AEWC 14:11,20	2:1 3:1	authority 19:17	Biological 3:8,10	50:2
35:12,21 37:8,13	appears 18:7 22:6	authorization	6:7 8:6,14 34:21	cases 7:21 8:19
37:19 38:13,17	application 22:16	19:21 21:4,18	35:2 48:11	35:17
39:8	applications 21:21	22:4	bit 11:10	categorize 15:9
AEWC's 36:6	22:19 28:12 34:2	authorizations	Board 1:2 2:20,22	causes 22:11
affect 28:14	applied 21:5	17:16 20:12 21:10	2:23 5:4 7:19 9:2	CBD 14:16
afternoon 5:13	appreciate 26:7	22:20	13:17 17:7,12	Center 3:5,8,10 6:7
6:15 31:9,15	40:8	authorized 14:4	13.17 17.7,14	Curren 5.5,0,10 0.7
	l	l	l	I

6:12 8:5,14 34:20 8:8	3 11:20 27:10	contrary 18:11	40:16,17 41:21	Discharge 19:10
	:5 45:22	Cont'd 3:1	43:4,7,8 45:8	DISCOVERY 1:8
	mitments 16:8	convenience 12:10	49:10	discretion 13:5
	mitted 16:10	34:12	dates 32:13 40:3	discuss 21:6
,	nmunity 8:8	conversation 46:18	43:4	Diversity 3:8,10
<i>,</i>	:20 31:6 46:1	coordinate 45:5	David 3:14 6:22	6:8 8:6,15 34:21
	pel 13:21	copies 29:10 30:15	37:4 47:2	35:2 48:11
	pelling 17:10	copy 30:17	day 16:20 24:19,22	docket 24:12
U U	plete 13:2 23:4	correct 12:5 42:21	25:1 29:15 41:17	documents 30:5
U I	:3,7 46:13	costs 15:21 16:18	45:4	doing 38:11
	pletely 29:3	counsel 2:11,15	days 9:1 24:10 34:9	dollars 15:22 16:11
	plex 35:17	6:12,19 7:3,7,16	36:8,11,12,17	16:20
U	plexity 17:3	7:16,17 11:3,19	38:21 41:16 42:12	doubt 21:12
	plicated 11:10	26:16,18 30:13	42:17,18 45:3	drill 16:15 21:17,21
	pressed 13:17	31:5,14 32:3	deadline 42:5	21:22 22:5,9,17
	cerns 12:16	33:21 34:20 35:4	decided 10:22 15:4	24:2 25:1
	cluded 50:5	35:12,20 37:13	15:6 19:2	drilling 1:9 8:4,12
20:16 31:19 cond	litions 16:17	38:2,15 39:8 40:8	deciding 45:8	8:13 10:7 14:1,3,4
Circuit 18:2,11,14 conf	Cerence 1:4 5:6	42:16 43:17 45:2	decision 18:5,14	16:12 19:1 21:14
	:20 47:12,15	48:22 49:9	19:7 35:19 43:11	28:21
39:10 48:1 conf	erring 49:17	count 24:22	43:13	Duane 2:4 11:7
	ïrm 10:12	country 49:1	decisions 10:10	due 16:6 35:13,14
clarify 38:18 conf	lict 39:8 44:6	counts 24:19	49:16	36:14,15 37:10
Clean 8:2 49	:3	course 30:18 31:8	decline 9:2	DURR 5:3
clear 13:4 15:10 conf	licts 44:18 46:4	court 7:16 10:20	deems 17:8	D.C 1:15 2:7,13,25
18:22 31:13 33:8 47	:7,8 48:19	11:4 29:14 41:14	Deepwater 22:7	
33:9 42:16 cons	servation 8:7	Courtroom 1:14	defend 28:6	E
J.	16 46:22	cover 32:6	defending 26:20	E 2:21
clerk 2:22 5:20 cons	sider 15:14	coverage 19:13	defense 28:8	EAB 12:1 29:4 33:8
44:1 47	:10	covered 19:10,12	definitely 27:3	33:14,15
clock 42:8 cons	sideration	covers 27:11	degree 17:8	earliest 16:16
closed 21:1 26	:11	co-counsel 44:14	delayed 13:10	early 18:20 19:6,7
Coast 45:2 cons	sistent 19:20	48:21	delegated 19:17	42:3,4 49:21
	solidate 30:2	Crag 3:5 6:12	demonstrates 13:4	Earthjustice 3:13
	solidated 8:20	42:22	depending 5:14	3:15,19 6:20 7:4
	solidating	create 41:10	16:16	8:6,15 14:18 37:1
	:20	creation 16:3	design 23:14,16	37:5 46:21
	solidation 9:10	Crowell 2:6	desirable 48:5	easiest 33:7
<i>,</i>	:1,18 29:22	cut 26:1	deterioration 8:2	East 1:14
	:22,22 32:10	CZMA 19:20	determined 19:18	echo 35:20
·	:6,10 37:6	C-O-N-T-E-N-T-S	detract 29:17	economize 15:2
	stitution 1:15	4:10	dictate 34:4	effort 16:9
	ext 36:5	D	differences 27:14	either 9:3 14:5 41:1
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	inental 8:1		different 32:2,8	41:22 43:17
	inue 40:20	data 16:2 25:4	33:1,6,6 40:3 41:3	electronic 11:18
	inues 21:6	date 29:8,15 36:8	41:9 43:4	30:14
Commission 3:2 22	:17	38:6 40:1,6,6,16	difficult 15:5	electronically

20.10				
30:19	expeditious 36:2	first 6:20 9:15	Grafe 3:15 7:1,1	Hopefully 49:19
element 41:6	expenditures 16:1	27:12 28:11 37:14	grant 10:21 41:5,15	Horizon 22:8
elements 40:18	experience 21:9	Fish 21:4,7	granted 12:18	huge 15:16
enables 25:7	explained 24:20	Fishery 20:14	25:13 38:8 40:16	hydrocarbons
Endangered 20:1	exploration 13:21	fit 25:17 41:15	granting 47:10	15:19 25:8
entails 23:9	17:19,21,21 18:9	five 22:1 41:17	great 43:21	
entered 19:5	18:18 19:19 25:5	42:12	group 31:5	
entitled 25:9	25:8 48:3	flush 46:12	groups 8:7,16	ICAS 14:12,20
Environmental 1:1	exploratory 8:4,11	fly 45:3	46:22	31:10,15 39:8
1:2 2:9,12,19,22	8:13	focus 9:15 33:14	guide 25:5	identical 14:13,21
2:23 5:4,5 7:19	explore 15:18,19	45:14	Gulf 1:8 2:2 5:7 8:3	15:7 24:14 35:9
EPA 1:14 2:16 7:8	expressed 12:17	focused 45:17	11:11 23:9 28:18	identity 15:11
26:16 31:14 32:3	extensive 13:1	following 9:6		IHA 20:19
32:5,17 33:2,21	extra 28:22	forth 25:15	$\frac{\mathbf{H}}{\mathbf{H}}$	IHAs 21:1
33:21,21 38:2	extraordinary	forum 40:22	hand 18:10 19:22	imperative 16:14
39:21 40:8 41:3	13:15,22	forward 16:7	handle 33:22	implementation
42:4 43:5 44:11	—	found 27:7	happen 34:4	23:15
EPA's 7:17 26:21		Fourth 3:19	happened 28:18	implicit 41:4
36:14	fact 30:1 39:13	Francisco 3:11 6:7	happens 23:21	important 18:19
equivalent 26:4	facts 27:13	Friday 45:1	harassment 20:11	33:3,18,20 46:15
Eric 3:18 7:5	factual 32:8 33:6	FRONTIER 1:8	hard 45:1	impression 37:15
Erik 3:15 7:1	factually 35:9	full 41:16	hear 45:17 49:11	incident 22:7,11
error 13:5	far 27:9 41:9	fully 17:6 21:7 27:4	heard 26:2	23:9
ESA 20:9	fashion 9:21	35:18 37:18	hearing 1:19 22:22	incidental 20:6,11
Eskimo 3:2 8:7	favor 48:2	functional 26:4	23:1,6 26:3,9	including 19:4
11:19 31:4 45:22	favorable 13:9	further 9:4 29:16	40:17 45:5	incorrectly 38:4
Esq 2:4,5,5,10,14	Federal 19:17	49:22	held 34:11 43:12	39:3
2:15,21 3:4,4,9,14	20:13,17 28:20	furthermore 41:19	46:8	incredibly 33:3
3:15,18	feel 24:15 25:10,14		Hello 26:14	index 29:9
essential 40:18	28:1,4 33:18	$\frac{G}{G}$	help 46:12	indicated 18:4
etcetera 16:4	file 9:1,11 10:13,19	Gardinier 2:21	helpful 45:12	indication 19:4
event 10:20 18:9	11:1 27:11 29:9	7:19	Hi 6:21	information 22:18
44:3	30:19 31:15 32:14	gate 28:11	Hobstetter 3:14	23:12,18 48:1
exact 27:16	32:17 33:3,19	general 2:11 7:17	4:17 6:21,22 37:3	informed 24:4
expanded 27:15	34:7,9 38:7,19	19:10 26:15 27:3	37:4 47:1,2,16	35:19
expect 18:12 19:3	filed 7:21 11:21	generally 25:7	Honor 11:6,16 12:6	input 50:1
23:3 24:1	13:4 14:12,20	give 10:7 46:16	12:12 13:8 14:10	inquired 17:15
expectation 24:18	19:11 27:17,21	47:4	15:5,8 16:5 20:10	insight 23:8
expected 44:15	41:18 42:3,4	given 9:9 42:11	21:15 23:11 24:5	intend 19:11 33:1
expects 21:1,8	filing 9:6 24:18	go 9:18 10:15 31:3	24:22 26:13,14	interested 10:1,17
22:20	30:14,16 36:9,18	32:16 38:1,2	35:1 36:11 37:4	internal 40:9
expedite 10:2 33:13	41:21 42:18	going 16:7 23:17	40:4 41:20 44:7	interrelated 40:15
expedited 8:21	filings 8:19 9:9	33:22 34:4 39:6	44:10 47:2,10,20	intertwined 15:7
12:13 19:4 26:12	10:3 32:22	40:11 45:21	48:10	Inupiat 8:8 11:20
37:7 40:18	final 10:10	good 5:13,14 6:15	Honorable 1:22	31:6 46:1
expedition 10:1	Finally 21:15	31:9 34:22 37:3	5:10 hars 16:21	invalidates 18:18
17:8 27:2 40:14	fine 32:17 45:7	Government 10:5	hope 16:21	invested 15:16

investment 25:2	29:1,8 32:17	locations 22:1	39:11 44:22 49:21	Oak 3:5
involve 33:5	34:10,11 35:13,14	logistical 16:8	money 15:17 25:3	obviously 12:16
involving 7:22 12:7	36:14,16 37:10	long 12:19 13:10	month 16:6	13:8 41:2
22:7	38:6,21,21 39:1	39:6	months 39:15	occur 18:12
issue 8:10 14:16,18	39:11,13,15 42:5	Los 6:4	Moring 2:6	occurred 18:3
17:2 18:19 24:22	43:19,19 47:9,9	lost 25:2	morning 5:14 27:7	OCS 1:9,10 5:8
49:14	48:20	lot 28:4 32:5,6 35:3	27:19	12:22 28:9,21
issued 8:3,11,12	Juneau 3:21 7:4		motion 9:22 12:13	31:19 33:22
12:22 20:3 21:2	just-in-time 20:4	M	36:19	OCSPSD 8:10
21:11,13,19 31:20	21:11	M 2:5,10	moved 39:16	Office 2:11,15 7:17
issues 14:13,21		magnitude 16:9	moving 17:14 50:2	26:15,17
15:6,9 20:9 24:14	K	17:14	MSS's 48:2	offshore 2:2 8:12
25:20 27:5,8,12	Kevin 3:9 35:1	majority 30:5		11:14 13:20
28:4 32:7 33:20	48:10	making 16:8 41:12	<u> </u>	Oh 29:21 30:11
34:3 35:8,17	key 33:15	Mammals 20:2	name 11:4,7	okay 30:9 36:20
40:15,22 41:3	keyed 26:11 41:21	management 17:20	National 20:14	38:12 39:4,19
45:13,17 46:15	42:12	19:18 21:16 28:19	necessarily 48:16	43:9 45:20
47:19 49:16	kind 13:4 40:14	Marine 20:2,14	necessary 32:21	once 14:11 30:4
issuing 22:8	know 5:19 10:10	Mathiascheck 2:5	necessities 16:7	ones 17:18
i.e 17:22	14:2,2 17:2,13	matter 1:18 12:19	need 19:7 21:16	one's 16:17
	23:10,20,22 27:18	16:22 23:2 25:17	27:3 28:5,13,15	ongoing 22:18
J	27:19 30:12 39:22	26:11 27:3 31:18	28:18 40:9,13	open 14:3 20:18,21
January 19:16	44:2 45:14	41:6,7 50:5	41:13	operations 10:7
join 32:16 37:8,19	Kristi 2:10 26:15	matters 8:20 17:4	needs 18:8 20:8	18:17 19:12 21:2
joining 5:15 7:18	Kulluk 13:18	17:11 29:20 37:14	new 27:8,11 33:4	25:6
Jorgenson 3:18 7:5		Matthews 2:15 7:9	36:3	opportunity 16:18
7:6	L	7:9,14 26:17	newest 14:11	34:7 37:17 46:17
Judge 5:10,13 6:6	L 1:22	MC 2:23	night 11:18	47:5
6:11,16,19 7:3,7	laid 29:5 35:13	mean 24:21	non-recoverable	oppose 9:9 27:2
7:13,15 12:3	law 3:5 6:12 33:6	measures 23:13	16:13	31:22 32:10 35:10
15:13 18:13,21	43:1	meet 40:7	noon 49:11	37:6
20:7 22:2 23:7,20	lawfully 12:22	meetings 32:5	normally 25:13	opposed 12:14
29:7,19 30:9,18	layer 28:22	members 49:18	North 11:21	29:22
30:22 34:13,16,20	lead 48:22	mentioned 31:11	Nos 1:9 5:8 11:12	optimistic 13:8
36:7,12,20 37:1	leases 15:18 16:1	Mexico 1:8 2:2 5:7	11:15	oral 4:18 9:5,13,17
37:21 38:10,13,17	25:8	8:3 11:11	note 32:12 35:16	17:1 18:2 25:18
38:22 39:2,19	legal 14:16,18 32:6	mid-week 44:20,21	noted 12:12 27:6	33:13 34:17 36:5
42:15 43:2,9 44:8	32:9	million 16:11,20	notice 1:19 11:18	39:10 40:21 43:10
44:11 45:19 46:6	letters 21:3	mind 43:16	noticed 20:17,20	43:12,14 44:3,12
46:19 47:13,18	let's 17:10 31:2	Minerals 17:19	notices 19:11	45:12 46:7,10
48:6 49:7	39:19 43:9	21:16	notifications 19:14	47:3,11,14 48:13
judicial 15:2	light 28:17	MMPA 20:9	novel 41:20	48:14
Juliane 2:15 26:17	limited 14:1 42:11	MMS 15:18 21:18	NPDS 19:10	orchestrated 17:15
Julie 2:14 7:9,11	line 6:9,22 7:2	21:20 22:8,15	NRDC 37:5 46:21	order 5:17,18 8:18
26:16	43:18	23:11	Number 5:7	18:15 31:4 48:4
July 14:5,6 21:14	little 11:10	mobilization 16:9	N.W 1:15 2:6,12,24	49:14,19
June 20:18 28:2	live 24:16	Monday 34:10 39:1	<u> </u>	Oregon 3:6
	LLP 2:6		0	

			20.4	20.10 20.4 22.7 8
ought 42:6	petition 9:13 11:21	present 24:14 33:1	purview 29:4	29:10 30:4 33:7,8
outcome 13:9,10,11	14:11,17 26:22	presented 33:10	put 9:16 28:15	records 13:2 30:6
outer 7:22	27:12,16,17,21	35:5 41:1	P-R-O-C-E-E-D	32:4
outlined 40:13	31:16 35:8	President 22:11	5:1	refer 12:10
overall 28:9	petitioners 9:8,12	presiding 5:11	p.m 1:19 5:2 50:5	regard 11:14
overlap 32:4	10:16,17,22 12:15	Presumably 33:5	Q	regarding 23:13
overly 24:21	12:17 25:15,19	presume 11:17		Region 2:16 7:8
P	26:5 27:10 28:10	12:6	question 31:21 32:11 44:15 48:13	10:15,17,19 12:14
$\frac{\mathbf{I}}{\mathbf{P} 3:18}$	29:5 30:14 31:2	pretty 14:9		12:21 19:14 24:8
	31:17 33:2,18	prevention 8:1	questions 33:16	24:11 25:21 26:17
panel 18:4,7 49:16 49:18	34:6 37:5,8,16	previous 14:14	34:15 46:12	26:20 40:6 41:22
	39:22 41:12 42:6	21:10	quick 18:5	Regional 2:15
paper 30:16	42:17 45:21	previously 31:10	quickly 16:22	26:18
papers 32:18	petitioner's 36:3	primary 32:20	17:11 18:8 43:10	Region's 37:18
Pardee 48:21	petitions 7:21 13:3	principal 17:18	45:16	Register 20:14,17
part 39:11 40:10	14:15 24:9,11	probably 45:15	R	relating 16:1
participate 6:1,2	25:16 27:5,9	procedures 25:12	$\overline{\mathbf{R}}$ 3:14	relevant 29:10
participation 41:5	28:12 30:2 32:1	proceed 9:5,20	raise 14:16,20 36:4	relief 13:22
41:6	37:14	14:9 16:17 17:7	37:14	remanding 13:6
particular 45:13	phone 6:1 31:12	17:17	raised 14:13 25:20	removed 41:9
parties 15:3 30:13	place 10:9	proceedings 10:11	27:5,8,9 28:4,10	render 19:8
31:12 32:14 34:18	plan 17:19 18:10	33:13	30:1 46:15	rendered 22:10
43:18 44:4 45:13	19:19 48:3	process 21:18 23:8	raises 14:12,18	replica 27:17
46:17	planned 14:4 21:13	processing 22:16	raising 41:2	replies 34:10 35:14
parts 17:14 33:6	planning 16:8	program 17:21	reach 35:19	36:15 37:16 42:14
pending 10:5 24:9	plans 18:18	progresses 13:13	read 10:2	reply 9:3,11 10:21
Pennsylvania 2:6	please 5:12 11:4	project 17:13	ready 6:1	11:1 12:18 25:11
2:12,24	31:7 37:2 48:6	proposal 29:13	realities 13:20	26:4 29:3 32:19
periods 20:22	point 15:17,21 16:5	32:12 35:12 36:6	really 33:14 34:4	32:21 33:11,19
permission 22:5	Portland 3:6 6:13	36:9 39:21	35:18 40:14 43:13	34:7,9 37:10,15
permit 1:10 5:7 8:2	position 29:2,20	propose 23:5 29:9	reason 21:12 22:15	37:19 38:7,8,11
8:10 11:13 13:18	37:18 43:3 46:7	proposed 8:18 24:7	33:17 34:5 39:12	38:19 39:12 40:16
19:11,12 22:17	positions 47:6	24:8 29:8	42:1	41:13,15,18 42:7
31:17	possible 14:5 16:16	proposes 8:22		42:11 48:17
permits 10:4 12:22	16:22 17:5,11	proposing 38:5,7	reasoning 37:11 reasons 24:20	report 22:10
13:6 14:17,19,22	43:22 45:10 49:14	38:19,20 39:12	29:22 32:21 40:13	reporter 11:4
17:16 21:17,21	49:19	Protection 1:1 2:9	receive 21:8 22:20	represent 11:5,7,10
22:8 24:2,13,15	posted 11:22	2:12,22 5:5 20:2	42:9	11:14 12:8 44:5
26:20 28:8,13,14	potential 9:16 25:3	provide 23:18		47:5 49:3
30:3 31:19 32:2,6	potentially 22:1	24:10 25:18 26:3	received 11:18 19:13 47:22	representative 5:22
32:9 46:16	precedential 33:20	37:16 40:21 48:4		represented 32:18
Permittee 2:2	46:15	48:18	recognize 13:14 17:9	32:22 49:9
permittees 34:1	precise 11:9	provided 25:14,16		represents 25:2,5
permitting 28:9,21	prep 45:2	34:9	recommend 34:8	request 9:17 10:2
30:6 33:22	prepare 42:6 45:15	public 20:18,20,22	recommendations	29:1 34:11 37:19
perspective 30:7	prepared 10:13,19	published 20:13	22:12	44:19
49:4	10:22 17:12 24:17	pursuant 1:19 5:16	record 5:21 11:5	requested 8:19
			15:11 18:5 28:5	

	1		1	
9:10 28:3	ruled 48:1	separate 35:7	17:22 40:1	subsequent 25:6
requesting 34:6	ruling 34:3	separately 15:6	situation 25:10	substantially 14:21
requests 9:2	running 42:8	service 17:20 20:15	six 14:21	32:4
require 10:6 24:8	runs 16:19	21:5,7,16 30:15	Sixth 2:17	substitutes 48:17
required 17:16	R.B 2:15	42:13	slightly 32:7	suggested 36:14
requires 19:9	R10OCS/PSD-A	session 5:6	Slope 8:9 11:21	37:9
resolution 12:14	1:11 5:8	set 25:15,17 26:6	31:6 46:1	suite 3:5,10,16
18:20 22:22 26:9		45:12	Smith 2:10 4:13	14:20
40:18	<u> </u>	setting 45:7	26:14,15 29:12,21	summer 13:12
resolved 18:8	safety 23:13,13	shared 30:6	30:11,20 38:9,12	16:12 17:17,22
resources 15:2	sake 12:10	shelf 8:1	44:13	19:8
respect 9:13 11:11	San 3:11 6:7	Shell 1:8 2:2,2 5:7	SOI 12:7,8	supplied 22:18
14:17,19,22 20:1	Sanerib 3:4 4:14	6:4 7:16 8:3,12,18	somewhat 41:3,20	support 22:19
20:6,9 21:3 24:5	6:14,15 31:8,9	8:22 9:4,21 10:6	soon 17:5 43:22	35:12 36:6
24:15 26:9 29:6	34:14,19 38:16,20	11:2,8,10,14	49:19	sure 5:21 28:5,18
respective 25:21	39:1,4 42:20,22	12:11,21 13:11,14	sooner 23:19	39:6 45:16 49:2
respond 24:9 25:19	46:2,3,9	13:22 14:1 15:16	sorry 22:3 38:10,11	surprises 41:10
27:1,4 39:20 43:7	Sarah 2:5 47:21	15:21 16:6,10	38:14,18 48:10	Susan 2:5,21
48:12	saying 40:9 48:7	17:17 18:6 19:9	sort 26:12	suspended 22:15
responding 26:19	schedule 9:16 19:5	19:19 21:1,5,15	sought 31:18	S.W 3:5
response 9:1,6	23:5 24:6,7,16	21:19,20,22 22:9	SO-155 2:17	
10:13,19 16:4	26:7,8,12 32:12	22:12,14,18,20	space 13:19	
29:11 32:15 33:4	35:11 36:15 37:9	23:11,17 24:9,11	speak 9:19 24:6	take 10:9 29:2
36:9,18 38:6	39:7,18 49:5	25:7,20 26:1 27:6	44:12	taken 9:13 19:21
39:21 40:2,16	scheduled 22:10	28:3,8,10 29:4	speaking 35:7	talk 5:17 8:17
41:1,17 42:18	23:1 39:15 44:3	30:1 31:14,20	Species 20:2	43:10
43:4,4,7,15	44:20	32:5,14,21 35:16	specific 27:13	talking 7:20
responses 25:21,22	scheduling 5:18	36:4 37:17 38:1	specifically 26:21	Tanya 3:4 6:14
28:15 29:18 35:13	8:18	39:20 40:7,13	27:1	31:9 42:22 46:3
36:3,13 41:18,22	scrutiny 28:22	41:2,22 42:4 43:5	spend 16:10	tell 20:8 38:6 43:20
42:9,13 45:11	Sea 8:4,13 12:4	44:6 47:21	spill 16:4	tend 20:3
retrofitting 16:3	27:14,20	Shell's 12:12 16:15	spoken 44:14	tentative 45:8
review 12:13 14:8	seas 14:3 16:16	26:22 36:18 43:3	St 3:10	terms 31:21 32:11
17:12 23:8 28:19	17:22 19:20	48:2,3	stand 10:8	35:6,7,11 37:11
31:16,18 32:1	season 14:1 16:12	short 9:6	standing 41:7	39:17
37:7,9 40:10	17:22 21:14	sides 18:19	stands 49:8	text 48:4
45:11	seasons 21:10 25:6	significant 8:1	start 6:3 9:21	thank 6:9,11,16
right 12:18 25:13	seated 5:12	Siler 2:4 4:12 11:6	state 11:4 19:15	7:13,14 11:6
26:2 30:9 31:1	Seattle 2:17	11:7 12:5 15:14	stated 33:21	26:13 30:10,22
34:13 36:20 37:21	second 8:10	18:16 19:3 20:10	States 1:1 5:5	34:18 36:21,22 37:21 39:2 44:8
38:22 41:15 42:11	see 9:12 15:5 21:12 30:5 43:14	22:4 23:10,22	status 1:4 5:6	
44:8 45:19 46:19		40:4 43:6 44:5,10	step 21:18	44:10 45:18,19
47:16,18 49:1,7,8	seeking 21:22 sees 25:17 41:15	similar 13:16,21	Street 3:5,19	46:2,19 47:1,16 48:7,7 49:6,22
rigorous 13:1	sees 25:17 41:15 seismic 16:2	35:8	strong 28:5	Thanks 50:3
Rios 2:23	sense 10:8 30:8	simultaneously	subject 9:22	thing 30:11 39:5
rise 5:3	senses 28:10	29:11	submit 23:12 40:11	45:9 48:9
room 7:16	5011505 20.10	single 14:16,18	submitting 34:2	

Page 57

		. 1 . 12 10 42 10		20004.0.7
things 34:4	understand 8:22	weeks 13:19 43:19	Y	20004 2:7
think 14:10 24:19	9:4,8 18:21 38:5	44:2,6,18 46:5	year 13:22 19:6	2007 13:17
28:13 29:4 32:8	41:11	47:9 49:10	years 15:19 34:5	2009 21:6
32:19,20 33:2,7	understanding	wells 23:15	yesterday 11:22	2010 1:13 16:12
33:12,17 40:1	10:4 18:4 33:9	weren't 38:11	31:16	20:19
43:6 44:17 46:11	understands 18:7	West 45:2		202 2:8,13,25
46:16 47:3,8	undertaken 23:14	we'll 9:18 10:15	Z	20460 2:13
48:16 49:3	unheard 42:2	30:16,20 34:17	Zone 19:18	20460-0001 2:25
thinking 45:10	UNIT 1:9	we're 5:16 8:17	1	206 2:18
thoughtfully 27:4	United 1:1 5:4	13:15 24:16,17	$\frac{1}{1/2}$	21st 43:20 47:9
thoughts 32:9	upholding 48:2	39:6 45:16	1/2 16:20	48:20
three 13:19 49:16	urge 26:6,10	we've 24:3,8	10 2:16 7:8 10:15	233-0122 2:25
thrown 32:13	useful 46:16	Whaling 3:2 8:8	10:17,19 12:14	25 24:10
Thursday 1:13	usually 45:2	11:19 27:10 31:4	14:6 19:14 24:8	26 4:13
44:22 45:6	U.S 2:22	45:22	24:11 26:17 34:9	277-2500 3:17
time 9:6 16:16 18:3	V	Wildlife 21:4,7	36:10,12,17 38:20	28 24:10
19:18 21:13 23:4	valid 18:10	Winter 3:4 6:17,18	41:16,22 42:17,18	28th 22:9,21 24:3
23:6 24:18 27:4		31:11 39:14	10th 5:17	32:15 40:5
28:1,3,15,19,21	value 13:11 vast 30:5	wish 26:1	10-01 1:9 5:8	3
34:16 42:8 46:6	Vera 48:21	wished 29:14	10-02 1:10 5:9	$\frac{3}{315:22}$
timely 21:8		Wolgast 1:22 5:10	10-03 1:10 5:9	
timing 10:11 39:17	Vergeront 2:14	5:13 6:6,11,16,19	10-1 11:12,15	300 16:11
today 5:16 8:17 9:2	7:11,11 26:16	7:3,7,13,15 12:3	10-2 11:12,15	301 3:16
12:1	vessels 16:3	15:13 18:13,21	10-3 11:12	31 4:14
token 22:14 40:12	video 47:11,14	20:7 22:2 23:7,20	10-4 11:17 12:7	325 3:19
tomorrow 49:11,14	view 16:15	29:7,19 30:9,18	1001 2:6	35 4:16
49:20,20	views 10:17 26:22	30:22 34:13,16,20	11 4:12	351 3:10
topics 33:15	W	36:7,12,20 37:1	1103B 2:23	37 4:17
track 20:5 23:4	$\overline{\mathbf{W}}$ 3:16	37:21 38:10,13,17	1152 1:14	4
tragic 22:7	waiting 18:14	38:22 39:2,19	1200 2:12,17,24	4 42:5
travel 45:1	wake 22:6 23:9	42:15 43:2,9 44:8	1201 1:15	4th 14:6 28:2 29:1
true 27:6	want 5:22 32:16	44:11 45:19 46:6	13 1:13	29:8 32:17 35:13
try 49:18	36:4 38:2 39:7	46:19 47:13,18	14th 34:10,11	36:14 38:6,21
trying 36:2	45:11,14,17	48:6 49:7	35:14 36:16 37:10	415 3:12
Tuesday 44:22	wanted 17:2 30:12	work 26:16 45:4,6	37:20 38:21 39:1	417 3:5
45:6	31:13 43:17 48:12	49:5	39:13 43:19 47:9	43 4:18
turn 31:2 39:19	wants 32:14	workable 29:16	48:20	436-9682 3:12
two 7:21 12:22 14:3	warrant 13:6	working 21:19,20	15 9:1 36:8	441 3:16
14:19 29:15,20	warranted 32:19	24:17	18 23:12	
30:1 32:1,1 40:2	Washington 1:15	world 42:2	18th 23:18	5
44:2,18 45:3 46:5	2:7,13,17,25	wouldn't 18:22	19 20:20,21	5th 3:16
49:10,17	way 14:9 24:2 33:7	write 39:3	2	503 3:6
U	website 12:2	writing 29:17 33:4	$\frac{2}{216:20}$	525-2722 3:6
ultimately 35:22	Wednesday 44:21	written 33:10	2:00 1:19	553-1169 2:18
underpinnings	45:5	35:22 36:18 37:16	2:04 5:2	564-3064 2:13
32:8	week 18:3 28:2	38:4 48:17	2:48 50:5	586-2751 3:22
52.0	48:20		2010 JU.J	

				1 4 9 6 5 6
	I	l	l	l
6				
600 3:10				
624-2500 2:8				
7				
7 20:17,18				
7th 39:11,16				
7 th 57.11,10				
9				
9th 8:11 18:2,11,14				
18:17 19:1,5,6				
39:10 48:1				
907 3:17,22				
917 3:5				
94104 3:11				
97205 3:6				
98101 2:17				
99501 3:17				
99801 3:21				
77001 3.21				
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>